UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/517,316 12/17/2004		Takashi Tanaka	P26024	6727	
	7590 03/17/200 & BERNSTEIN, P.L.		EXAMINER		
1950 ROLAND RESTON, VA 2	CLARKE PLACE		NGUYEN, NAM V		
KESTON, VA	20191		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2612		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/17/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/517,316	TANAKA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
	/ •	

	NAM V. NGUYEN	2612	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>19 February 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply original controls.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
	out prior to the data of filing a brief	ill mat be antended be	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better the content of the conte	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all- non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Brian A Zimmerman/	/N. V. N./		
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2612	Examiner, Art Unit 2612		

Continuation of 11. Referring to claims 1 and 14, Applicant argued that there is no basis for the Examiner's assertion that expanding Vercellotti's read range to twice its size would improve the number of process and reduce interrogations is not persuasive. Vercellotti et al. disclose that, if the interrogator 26 receives replies of more than one response, the interrogation interrogates again (i.e. second query) with a new tag identification number of A=A+2^(m+j) (where A is the interrogation address previously and J is an index) (column 4 lines 19 to 27; see Figure 1), such as if the address is 4, the next interrogation address is 8 (4 + 2^ (4-2). Doing this the number of interrogations may be decreased by providing additional diversity to the direct search system. Additional diversity may be achieved by permitting more than one tag to respond per interrogation for any given address number by means of discrete time slots (column 4 lines 37 to 46). Clearly, Vercellotti et al. disclose the interrogator receives replies of more than one response, the interrogation interrogates again with increase a new tag identification number of factor of 2 in order to decrease the processes and reduce interrogation.

Furthermore, Vercellotti et al. disclose that another factor of 2 can be claimed for where the tag numbers are in the range of 2³², which is the range of the tag numbers. In addition, modifications may be made to the search algorithm to achieve additional reductions. Such additional time reductions may arise because it is not always necessary to return to the beginning of the search each time a unique tag 28 is identified (column 5 lines 20 to 28). Clearly, increasing by a factor of 2 can a number of processes would be improved and that interrogations would be reduced.